

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/935,724	ROGER B BRADFORD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Etienne P LeRoux	2161	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Etienne P LeRoux.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Thomas C. Fiala.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 June 2005

**Time:** 10:00 am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-15

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**MOHAMMAD ALI**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to applicant's representative about a potential amendment of the claims in order to allow instant application. Applicant's representative agreed with Examiner's proposal and authorization was given for an Examiner's Amendment to cancel claims 1-3, 5-9 and 12-14 and amend claims 4 and 10.